## EXHIBIT 2

		Pa
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
	AT SEATTLE	
	FRED and KATHLEEN STARK, a )	
	married couple, )	
	Plaintiffs, )	
	)	
	vs. ) No. CV 06-1719JLR	
	THE SEATTLE SEAHAWKS, FOOTBALL )	
	NORTHWEST, LLC, a Washington )	
	limited liability company, )	
	FIRST & GOAL, INC., a Washington )	
	corporation, THE WASHINGTON )	
	STATE PUBLIC STADIUM AUTHORITY, )	
	a Washington municipal )	
	corporation, and LORRAINE HINE, )	
	in her capacity as chair of the )	
	Washington State Public )	
	Stadium Authority board of )	
	directors, )	
	)	
	Defendants. )	
	20/h) 6 Danawitalan Hara O 1 D 1 1 1	
	30(b)6 Deposition Upon Oral Examination of	
	ANN KAWASAKI ROMERO	
,		
	Taken at 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400	
	Seattle, Washington	
	DATE: Wednesday, April 25, 2007	
	- ' - '	

```
Page 2
 1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
     For the Plaintiffs:
 3
       CHRISTOPHER T. WION
       Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson
 4
       999 Third Avenue
 5
       Suite 4400
       Seattle, Washington 98104
 6
 7
     For PSA and Lorraine Hine:
 8
       JOHN J. DUNBAR
 9
       Ball Janik
       One Main Place
10
       101 SW Main Street
       Suite 1100
11
       Portland, Oregon 97204-3219
12
13
     For Football Northwest and First & Goal:
14
       PAUL A. AINSWORTH
       Covington & Burling
       1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
15
       Washington, DC 20004-2401
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                --000--
```

			Page 3
1		INDEX	
2	EXAI	MINATION BY	PAGE
3	Mr.	Wojtanowicz	4
4			
5			
6			
7		EXHIBIT INDEX	
8	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	23	Second Amended Notice of 30(b)6 Deposition	6
10	24	Witness' notes	6
11	25	E-mail from Martha Fuller to Ann Kawasaki	26
		Romero	
12			
	26	Master Lease	35
13			
	27	Washington State Stadium Authority Revenue	40
14		Received From FGI	
15	28	Defendant Washington State Public Stadium	45
		Authority's Response to Plaintiff's First	
16		Interrogatories and Requests For Production	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
1			

Page 4 1 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON; WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 2007 2 9:50 a.m. 3 4 --000--5 б deponent herein, having been ANN KAWASAKI ROMERO, 7 first duly sworn on oath, 8 was examined and testified 9 as follows: 10 11 EXAMINATION BY MR. WOJTANOWICZ: 12 13 Good morning, Ms. Kawasaki Romero. My name is Garth Wojtanowicz, and I represent the plaintiffs in this 14 15 case, Fred and Kathleen Stark, and I understand that you have been produced in response to a notice of deposition to 16 the Public Stadium Authority; is that correct? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 So I understand that you have never been through a deposition before? 20 21 That's correct. Α. 22 I'm just going to lay out a few ground rules and 23 let you know how we plan on proceeding today. I'm going to 24 be asking you a series of questions, and because this is a 25 30(b)6 deposition, you've been designated as the person

- 1 deposited, and we receive 20 percent net profits from First
- 2 & Goal's operation of the event center, which we then turn
- 3 around and submit to the State of Washington for deposit in
- 4 the State Common School Fund, and we receive miscellaneous
- 5 revenue from the sale of surplus equipment.
- 6 Q. Beginning with the rent payments, the rent
- 7 payments are a fixed rent that's spelled out in the Master
- 8 Lease, other than the -- let me just start over with that
- 9 question.
- 10 MR. DUNBAR: I was about ready to go.
- 11 BY MR. WOJTANOWICZ:
- 12 Q. Can you describe for me how the rent payments are
- 13 calculated.
- MR. DUNBAR: Can we agree that all these
- 15 questions about the Master Lease, to the extent they call
- 16 for a legal conclusion, that you're not construing any of
- 17 the responses as a legal conclusion?
- MR. WOJTANOWICZ: To the extent they call
- 19 for a legal conclusion, sure.
- 20 MR. DUNBAR: So you're asking for
- 21 understanding?
- MR. WOJTANOWICZ: Yes.
- THE WITNESS: Rent is set at 850,000 per
- 24 year increasing annually by CPI.
- Q. There's also a possibility in the Master Lease

- 1 agreement, is there not, that if the reasonable operating
- 2 expenses of the PSA exceed that amount, then the rent could
- 3 be increased. Is that your understanding?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Has that ever happened?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Could you explain to me what you understand the
- 8 admissions surcharge to be?
- 9 A. Admissions surcharge is a surcharge on the price
- 10 of the ticket. It's 1.2 percent. It's used to pay
- 11 deferred sales tax on the project.
- 12 Q. That 1.2 percent -- is that levied on all tickets
- 13 for all events at the facilities?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. And that includes Seahawks games?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 (Exhibit No. 27 marked for
- identification.)
- 19 BY MR. WOJTANOWICZ:
- 20 O. You've been shown what's been marked Exhibit
- No. 27, and this is a document that counsel gave to me
- 22 before your deposition today, and it indicates that
- 23 approximately a little over two million dollars have been
- 24 paid to the PSA by FGI for the admissions surcharge since
- 25 2004. Is that your understanding?

Page 41

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how these numbers were arrived at?
- 3 A. Our accountant prepared the spreadsheet, and he
- 4 used financial reports to generate this information.
- 5 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, these numbers
- 6 are correct?
- 7 A. To the best of my knowledge.
- 8 MR. DUNBAR: This wasn't noted in the
- 9 30(b)6, so will you construe these responses as part of the
- 10 individual deposition?
- MR. WOJTANOWICZ: Sure. You know, actually,
- 12 I think I'm just going to wrap up the 30(b)6 portion and
- 13 then we'll just move on so we don't have to deal with
- 14 the --
- 15 MR. DUNBAR: The distinction between the
- 16 two?
- MR. WOJTANOWICZ: Right.
- 18 MR. DUNBAR: That will be great. Thank you.
- 19 MR. WOJTANOWICZ: So we're going to come
- 20 back to the Master Lease agreement.
- MR. DUNBAR: The Master Lease agreement is
- 22 the part we agreed would be part of the individual
- 23 deposition; correct? So are we now totally wrapped up on
- 24 30(b)6?
- MR. WOJTANOWICZ: No, I've got just a couple

## **CERTIFICATE**

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON	)
COUNTY OF KING	)

I, the undersigned officer of the Court under my commission as a

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, hereby certify that
the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of the witness named
herein was taken stenographically before me and thereafter
processed under my direction;

That the witness before examination was first duly sworn by me to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony; That I am neither attorney for nor a relative or employee of any of the parties to this action; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of May 2007

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington residing at

Bellevue